



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,658	01/08/2002	Brian Carl Stanz	3601-58	6991
22442	7590 04/04/2006		EXAMINER	
SHERIDAN ROSS PC 1560 BROADWAY			LERNER, MARTIN	
SUITE 1200	JWAI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DENVER, CO 80202			2626	
•			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
	10/042,658	STANZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
	Martin Lerner	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u>	arch 2006				
<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allower		secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 to 29 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1 to 29 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 07 October 2005 is/are:		to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau	-				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	te atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1 to 13, drawn to a method for translating source text, comprising recording an event and recording a status of translation, classified in class 704, subclass 2.
 - II. Claims 14 to 17, drawn to a system for facilitating translation of a computer program, comprising a translation tool work sheet, and first and second edit boxes, classified in class 717, subclass 137.
 - III. Claims 18 to 24, drawn to a method for developing multiple natural language versions of software, comprising writing a first iteration, determining a translation status, translating, updating a translation status, displaying, and preparing a second iteration, classified in class 717, subclass 122.
 - IV. Claims 25 to 29, drawn to a method for developing computer software, comprising writing first textual content in a first language displayed in a first format, modifying the first textual content, recording information related to the modification, and translating the first textual content into a second language, classified in class 715, subclass 523.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 2626

2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process (method) of Invention I as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus that does not involve a translation tool work sheet, or first and second edit boxes of Invention II, but can be practiced by alternately-opened windows or files.

- 3. Inventions I and III are directed to related distinct processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, Invention I is a process involving translating records relating to source text, but Invention III is a process involving translating a source software program. Thus, Inventions I and III are mutually exclusive and not obvious variants, and have materially different modes of operation.
- 4. Inventions I and IV are directed to related distinct processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the

Application/Control Number: 10/042,658

Art Unit: 2626

instant case, Invention I is a process involving translating records by recording an event related to a record and recording a translation status, but Invention IV is a process involving modifying a first textual content displayed in a first format, recording information related to modification of first textual content, and then translating into a second language. Thus, Inventions I and IV are mutually exclusive and not obvious variants, and have materially different modes of operation, because Invention I records information including a translation status, but Invention IV modifies the textual content from a first displayed format and then translates the first textual content.

Page 4

- 5. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the process (method) of Invention III as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different product (apparatus) that does not involve a translation tool work sheet, or first and second edit boxes of Invention III, but can be practiced by alternately-opened windows or files.
- 6. Inventions II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the process

Art Unit: 2626

(method) of Invention IV as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different product (apparatus) that does not involve a translation tool work sheet, or first and second edit boxes of Invention II, but can be practiced by alternately-opened windows or files.

- 7. Inventions III and IV are directed to related distinct processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, Invention III is a process involving translating a source software program, but Invention IV is a process involving translating records relating to textual content. Thus, Inventions III and IV are mutually exclusive and not obvious variants, and have materially different modes of operation.
- 8. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 2626

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, Applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

10. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Martin Lerner whose telephone number is (571) 272-7608. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM Monday to Thursday.

Art Unit: 2626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R. Hudspeth can be reached on (571) 272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ML 3/31/06

Martin Lerner

Examiner

Group Art Unit 2626